

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,825	12/28/2001	Kimihito Yamasaki	4074-2	5543
23117	7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			TRAN, MAI T	
ARLINGTON	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F . VA 22203	LOOK	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2129	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/028,825	YAMASAKI ET AL.	YAMASAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mai T. Tran	2129				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder and the period for reply will, by state and the period for reply will, by state and the period for reply will, by state and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this col ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	June 2006.					
· <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	١.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	b by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have bee	n received in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bure	` ''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· -	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/028,825

Art Unit: 2129

DETAILED ACTION

REMARKS

Applicants' amendment dated June 8, 2006 responding to the March 8, 2006 Office Action provided in the rejection of claims 1-9, wherein claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, and 9 have been amended. Claims 1-9 remain pending in the application and which have been fully considered by the examiner.

The Examiner withdraws the rejection to claim 1-2, and 6 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, corresponding to Applicants' amendment.

PRIORITY

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/028,825

Art Unit: 2129

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuzaki et al (U. S. Patent No. 5,357,439), hereafter Matsuzaki, as applied to claims 1-9 in the previous Office Action, and further in view of Jerome D. Johnson (U. S. Patent No. 5,625,776), hereafter Johnson.

Matsuzaki teaches substantially all of applicants' claimed invention (see Office Action dated March 8, 2006 from page 3 to page 9). Matsuzaki fails to disclose "a composite apparatus which is a copying machine, a facsimile machine, a printing machine, or a composite machine comprising multiple of said machines."

Johnson teaches a system to generate customized proposals for computers, related peripherals, and copy machines (Johnson, col. 1, lines 20-21, col. 5, lines 1-3, lines 14-16).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine Matsuzaki with Johnson. The motivation for doing so would

be to customized each proposal for a particular customer, each proposal will have a much more persuasive effect in selling the product. Also, if any information about the product changes, such as prices of options, the system information stored in a database may be simply changed in order to accommodate the new information (Johnson, col. 2, lines 40-45).

CONCLUSION

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4238. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-- 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,825

Art Unit: 2129

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Vincent can be reached on 571-272-3080. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M.T.T Patent Examiner

Date: 8/14/2006

David Vincent Supervisory Patent Examiner Tech Center 2100 Page 5

Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.
Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit - 2121